UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Sven Sundgaard,

Civ. No. 21-999 (JRT/BRT)

Plaintiff,

v.

Multimedia Holdings Corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER FOR PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Pursuant to Rule 16(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a pretrial conference will be held *by Zoom video conferencing* on **June 11, 2021, at 10:00 am**, before United States Magistrate Judge Becky R. Thorson. Chambers will send Zoom instructions.

Please read this Order carefully. Prior to the conference, counsel must comply with the following:

1. Rule 26(f) Meeting and Report. Meet before the scheduled pretrial conference pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26.1. No later than three business days prior to the pretrial conference, the parties must prepare and file a joint Rule 26(f) Report in compliance with the rules. The parties' Rule 26(f) Report must include a proposed discovery plan as required by Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26.1. The parties should prepare their proposed plan using the attached Rule 26(f) form. The parties are encouraged to include additional scheduling or discovery plan proposals tailored to the case. A Word version is available at

http://www.mnd.uscourts.gov/Judges/thorson.shtml. To the extent the parties cannot reach agreement on any particular item about scheduling or discovery, they should set forth their separate positions in their Rule 26(f) Report for discussion at the pretrial conference. A copy of the 26(f) Report should be e-mailed to Magistrate Judge Thorson at thorson_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov at the time of the joint filing.

- 2. **Settlement Conference and Confidential Settlement Letter.** In addition to the Rule 26(f) Report, each party must email to Magistrate Judge Thorson's chambers a 1-2 page confidential letter setting forth what settlement discussions have taken place and whether the party believes an early settlement conference would be productive.
 - The scheduling of an all-day settlement conference requires considerable advance notice (sometimes several months). If the parties agree to seek an early settlement conference, they may jointly contact the Court prior to the Rule 16 conference to reserve a date. If a date is reserved, they must include it in their 26(f) Report. A notice of settlement conference confirming the date will be issued after the Rule 16 conference.
- 3. Rule 7.1 Disclosure, If Applicable. Any party that is required to file a disclosure statement under Rule 7.1, must ensure that it properly filed. As Rule 7.1 provides, a nongovernmental corporate party must file a disclosure statement that (1) identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock or (2) states that there is no such corporation. This disclosure statement must be filed with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the Court and promptly file a supplemental statement if any required information changes.
- 4. **Stipulation for Protective Order**. If a protective order is sought, the parties must use the form available on the Court's website under the Court Forms tab, in the "Pretrial, Discovery and Trial Forms" section as a starting point for their proposed order. *See* Local Rule 26.1(b)(2). The parties are free to offer additional or different proposals; however, they must submit their proposed productive order with any proposed changes in redline form.

Counsel who will be trying the case should be present for the conference and have authority to discuss all aspects of the 26(f) Report and scheduling conference topics. If this is not possible, substitute counsel with knowledge about the case and 26(f) Report should be arranged.

If a party does not have counsel of record listed in this case, it is the responsibility of counsel for Plaintiff to (1) immediately notify those parties and counsel of this

CASE 0:21-cv-00999-JRT-JFD Doc. 13 Filed 04/23/21 Page 3 of 12

conference, and (2) inform those parties and counsel of the requirements set forth in this

notice.

Failure of any party or counsel to comply with any part of this notice, including

submission of the Rule 26(f) Report and settlement letter to Magistrate Judge Thorson by

the time specified in this notice may result in the postponement of the pretrial conference,

an imposition of an appropriate sanction on the party, company or attorney who failed to

comply, or both.

Counsel should contact Magistrate Judge Thorson's Courtroom Deputy/Judicial

Assistant at 651-848-1210, with respect to any matters concerning the pretrial

conference.

Date: April 23, 2021

s/ Becky R. Thorson

BECKY R. THORSON

United States Magistrate Judge

3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Sven Sundgaard,	Civ. No. 21-999 (JRT/BRT)
Plaintiff, v.	
Multimedia Holdings Corporation, et al., Defendants.	RULE 26(f) REPORT ¹ TEMPLATE
Defendants.	
The parties/counsel identified below conferred as rand the Local Rules, on, and prepa	-
The initial pretrial conference required under Fed. scheduled for, 20, before Unite Thorson in Courtroom 6A of the U.S. Courthouse in, \$20.000.	d States Magistrate Judge Becky R.
(a) Rule 7.1 Disclosures.	
The parties must comply with Rule 7.1, if applicab	le:
(1) For plaintiff: Rule 7.1 does/does not apply	y.
(2) For defendantRule 7.1 does/does not app	oly.
(b) Description of the Case.	
(1) Concise factual summary of plaintiff's claims:	
(2) Concise factual summary of defendant's claims	s/defenses:
(3) Statement of jurisdiction (including statutory ci	itations):
(4) Summary of factual stipulations or agreements:	

This form is based on the forms found on the Court's website under the Court Forms tab, in the "Pretrial, Discovery and Trial Forms" section and is further customized by Magistrate Judge Thorson. http://www.mnd.uscourts.gov/local_rules/forms/Rule26f-report-non_patent.pdf

- (5) Statement of whether a jury trial has been timely demanded by any party:
- (6) Statement as to whether the parties agree to resolve the matter under the Rules of Procedure for Expedited Trials of the United States District Court, District of Minnesota, if applicable:
- (c) Pleadings.

Statement as to whether all process has been served, all pleadings filed, and any plan for any party to amend pleadings or add additional parties to the action:

(d) Discovery of Electronically Stored Information.²

The parties have discussed the scope of electronic discovery, including relevance and proportionality, and any issues about preserving electronic discovery. The parties have also discussed the form or forms in which electronic discovery should be produced. They inform the Court of the following agreements or issues:

The parties will further meet and confer by	to discuss their plan or
formal protocol for electronic discovery. They a	gree to present any disputes regarding
an electronic discovery plan and protocol to the	Court by .

The Court refers counsel to "Discussion of Electronic Discovery at Rule 26(f) Conferences: A Guide for Practitioners," developed by the Federal Practice Committee, to help attorneys and parties prepare for a meaningful discussion of electronic discovery issues early in the litigation. The e-Discovery Guide is available on the Court's website http://www.mnd.uscourts.gov. If a formal plan or protocol is appropriate, the parties should: (1) consider whether their plan should be submitted to the Court as a proposed order; (2) serve early document requests pursuant to Rule 34 to facilitate their discussions about electronic discovery, or, if early document requests are impracticable, a list of the types of documents the party will seek in discovery; (3) discuss where discovery sought is stored, including storage on the party's premises, data stored by a party using third party providers (e.g., the "cloud"), storage on devices used by a party (or its employees, for example) including laptops, smart phones or other personal devices; (4) discuss whether email or other electronic communications will be sought and identify what electronic discovery tools or techniques will be applied; and (5) discuss the other topics relating to electronic discovery set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A plan or protocol should be clear on how the plan or protocol will operate during the course of fact discovery to satisfy a party's obligation to respond to a first and subsequent set of document requests.

(e) Fact Discovery.

The parties should agree on their proposed plan using this form. To the extent that the parties cannot reach agreement on any particular item about scheduling or discovery, they should set forth their separate positions in their Rule 26(f) Report for discussion at the pretrial conference.

The parties recommend that the Court establish the following fact discovery deadlines and limitations:

, ,	The parties must make their initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before If the parties plan to disclose documents by a description by category and location of documents, they will exchange copies of initial disclosure
	documents on or before
` ′	The parties must commence fact discovery procedures in time to be completed by The parties will discuss whether a date for the substantial production of documents should be set within the fact discovery period, to facilitate the taking of depositions.
` /	The parties have discussed the scope of discovery including relevance and proportionality and propose that the Court limit the use and numbers of discovery procedures as follows:
	(A) interrogatories;
	(B) document requests. The parties understand that objections to document requests must meet the requirements of amended Rule 34(b)(2)(B). For the parties' convenience, the Rule is set forth below:
	Rule 34

(2) Responses and Objections.

(b) Procedure.

(B) Responding to Each Item. For each item or category, the response must either state that inspection and related activities will be permitted as requested or state with specificity the grounds for objecting to the request, including the reasons. The responding party may state that it will produce copies of documents or of electronically stored information instead of permitting inspection. The production must then be completed no later than the time for inspection specified in the request or another reasonable time specified in the response.

If the responding party producing copies of documents or copies of electronically stored information and the copies are not produced with the responses, another

reasonable time must be specified in the response. If the requesting party disagrees that this is reasonable, the parties must meet and confer to agree on the timetable for production.
(C) requests for admission. The parties have discussed a protocol for the authentication of documents and agree on the following:
(D) factual depositions. The parties have discussed the geographical location for the depositions and agree on the following:
(E) The parties have discussed the taking of depositions pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) and present the following agreement:
The parties should consider whether they should agree on a deadline for serving 30(b)(6) notices of deposition.
(F) The parties have discussed Rule 35 medical examinations and agree to The parties must complete any physical or mental examinations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 35 by
(G) other.
f) Expert Discovery.
(1) The parties anticipate that they [will/will not] require expert witnesses at the time of trial.
(A) The plaintiff anticipates calling (number) experts in the fields of:
(B) The defendant anticipates calling (number) experts in the fields of:
(C) The parties must meet and confer to confirm the fields (not necessarily the identity of the expert witnesses) on or before
(2) The parties propose that the Court establish the following plan for expert discovery:
The parties must discuss the particular expert needs in the case and then carefully tailor their recommended plan for disclosure of experts, service of reports and timing of depositions. Three options are provided below:

OPTION A:

(1)	Initial experts.
	(i) The identity of any expert who may testify at trial regarding issues on which the party has the burden of persuasion must be disclosed on or before
	(ii) The initial expert written report completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(2)	Rebuttal experts.
	(i) The identity of any experts who may testify in rebuttal to any initial expert must be disclosed on or before
	(ii) Any rebuttal expert's written report completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(3)	All expert discovery, including expert depositions, must be completed by The parties must meet and confer to coordinate expert depositions. This conference should immediately follow the disclosure of the experts so that all expert depositions can be coordinated and completed on time. The parties must inform their experts about the deadlines for expert disclosures and depositions in this Scheduling Order.
(4)	Each side may call up to experts. Each side may take 1 deposition per expert.
<u>OPTI</u>	ON B:
(1)	The plaintiff anticipates calling up to experts in the fields of The defendant anticipates calling up to experts in the fields of
(2)	The identity of Plaintiffs' experts must be disclosed on or before (Plaintiff's initial experts)
(3)	The identity of Defendant's experts must be disclosed on or before (Defendant's initial experts)
(4)	The initial expert written report(s) (Plaintiff's and Defendant's), completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), must be served on or before

(5)	The identity of any experts who may testify in rebuttal to any initial expert must be disclosed on or before
(6)	Any rebuttal expert written report(s) completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(7)	All expert discovery, including expert depositions, must be completed by The parties must meet and confer to coordinate expert depositions immediately following each disclosure of experts so that all expert depositions can be coordinated and completed on time. The parties must inform their experts about the deadlines for expert disclosures and depositions in this Scheduling Order.
(8)	Each side may call up to experts. Each side may take 1 deposition per expert.
<u>OPT</u>	ION C:
(1)	Plaintiff will identify any expert who may testify at trial on behalf of Plaintiff and the initial expert written report(s) completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(2)	The identity and any expert written rebuttal reports by Defendants to Plaintiff's expert(s) must be served on or before
(3)	The identity of any experts (non-rebuttal) who may testify on behalf of Defendants and any expert written report(s) completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(4)	The identity and any expert written rebuttal reports by Plaintiff to Defendants' (non-rebuttal) expert(s) must be served on or before
(5)	All expert discovery, including expert depositions, must be completed by The parties must meet and confer to coordinate expert depositions. This conference should immediately follow the disclosure of the experts so that all expert depositions can be coordinated and completed on time. The parties must inform their experts about the deadlines for expert disclosures and depositions in this Scheduling Order.

((6)	Each side may call up to experts. Each side may take 1 deposition per expert.
(g) Prop	posed	Motion Schedule.
The	partie	es propose the following deadlines for filing motions:
pa	arties	as seeking to join other parties must be filed and served by The are aware that they must diligently pursue any investigation or discovery he appropriate discovery tools available to meet this deadline.
T	he par	as seeking to amend the pleadings must be filed and served by rties are aware that they must diligently pursue any investigation or discovery he appropriate discovery tools available to meet this deadline.
		Y IF APPLICABLE] Motions seeking an amendment of the pleadings to unitive damages must be filed and served by
(4) N	lon-di	spositive motions.
<u>O</u>	PTIO	<u>ON A:</u>
pa	arties	er non-dispositive motions must be filed and served by The must meet and confer to resolve fact discovery disputes when they arise, and solved, bring discovery disputes promptly to the Court's attention.
<u>O</u>	PTIO	<u>on B:</u>
((1)	All non-dispositive motions relating to fact discovery must be filed and served by
((2)	All other non-dispositive motions, including motions relating to expert discovery, must be filed and served by
(5) D	ispos	itive Motions.
I	All dis	spositive motions must be filed and served by
(h) Prot	ective	e Order
gove	ern di	es have discussed whether they believe that a protective order is necessary to scovery and jointly submit a [proposed] protective order/report identifying isagreement.

The parties [may/intend] to seek the entry of a protective order.

The parties will start with the form available on the Court's website under the Court Forms tab in the "Pretrial, Discovery and Trial Forms" section as a base template for their proposed protective order.

http://www.mnd.uscourts.gov/local_rules/forms/Stipulation-for-Protective-Order-Form.pdf. The parties understand that they may tailor a proposed protective order to their case and will redline suggested modifications to the form.

(i) Claims of Privilege or Protection.

The parties have discussed issues regarding the protection of information by a privilege or the work-product doctrine, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(D), including whether the parties agree to a procedure to assert these claims after production or have any other agreements under Fed. R. Evidence 502:

- (1) Request the Court to include the following agreement in the scheduling order; or
- (2) Will include their agreement in their proposed Protective Order.
- (j) Trial-Ready Date.
 - (1) The parties agree that the case will be ready for trial on or after
 - (2) The anticipated length of the [select one bench or jury] trial is days.
- (k) Insurance Carriers/Indemnitors.

List all insurance carriers/indemnitors, including limits of coverage of each defendant or statement that the defendant is self-insured.

- (1) Settlement.
 - (1) Counsel must meet before the scheduled pretrial conference pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26.1 to discuss settlement. No later than three business days prior to the pretrial conference, the parties must prepare and file a joint Rule 26(f) Report in compliance with the rules. Please email a copy to Magistrate Judge Thorson at thorson_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov. In addition, the parties must send Magistrate Judge Thorson a confidential letter setting forth what settlement discussions have taken place, whether the party believes and early settlement conference would be productive and when it should occur, and what discovery, if any, the party believes would be necessary to conduct before such a conference. The Court will discuss this topic with the parties at the pretrial conference and will set a date for an early settlement conference or for a

status conferen settlement conf	ce to determine when the case will be ready for a productive erence.
(2) The parties proj	pose that a settlement conference be scheduled to take place before
• /	we discussed whether other alternative dispute resolution will be esolution of this case and recommend the following:
(m) Trial by Magistrat	e Judge.
	Thave not] agreed to consent to jurisdiction by the Magistrate Judge 636(c). (If the parties agree to consent, file the consent with the)
DATE:	
	Plaintiff's Counsel
	License #
	Address
	Phone #
DATE:	
	Defendant's Counsel
	License #
	Address
	Phone #